	Case 1:24-cv-01464-CDB Document 8	Filed 01/06/25 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SHEILA SWEETEN, et al.,	Case No. 1:24-cv-01464-CDB
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER ON STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO
13	v.	COMPLAINT
14	OMNI FAMILY HEALTH,	(Doc. 7)
15	Defendant.	ORDER VACATING MARCH 6, 2025, SCHEDULING CONFERENCE
16		Series centre en l'enertes
17		
18		
19		
20	Relevant Background	
21	On October 22, 2024, Plaintiffs Sheila Sweeten and Corey Sweeten (collectively,	
22	"Plaintiffs") initiated this action with the filing of a complaint on behalf of themselves and a	
23	putative class of others against Defendant Omni Family Health ("Defendant") in the Superior	
24	Court of the State of California, Kern County, Case No. BCV-24-103613. (Doc. 1). On	
25	December 2, 2024, Defendant removed the action to this Court. ( <i>Id.</i> ). On December 6, 2024,	
26	the parties stipulated pursuant to Local Rule 144(a) to extend by 28 days the time for Defendant	
27	to respond to the complaint, up to and including January 6, 2025. (Doc. 5).	
28	This action is one of several similar class action suits brought in or removed to this Court	

## Case 1:24-cv-01464-CDB Document 8 Filed 01/06/25 Page 2 of 3

in which Plaintiffs assert similar claims against Defendant. *See*, *e.g.*, *Gober Villatoro Guerra v. Omni Family Health*, Case No. 1:24-cv-01492-JLT-CDB ("*Guerra*") (Doc. 6). In *Guerra*, the Court noted the class action complaints here and the other Omni actions allege substantially similar facts and nearly identical causes of action against Defendant. (*Id.* at 1-2) ("From review of the several complaints, it appears these actions arise from a recent, alleged cyberattack resulting in a data breach of sensitive information in the possession and custody and/or control of Defendant (the 'Data Breach').").

The Court ordered Defendant to file a Notice of Related Cases in accordance with Local Rule 123(b) in *Guerra* and the identified Omni actions therein, including the instant action. (*Id.* at 3). The Court further ordered the parties in *Guerra* to show cause why this action should or should not be consolidated pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a). (*Id.*). On December 27, 2024, Defendant filed the Notice of Related Cases and identified as related, *inter alia*, the instant action. (*Guerra*, Doc. 8 at ¶ 5). On December 30, 2024, the parties in *Guerra* filed a joint status report in response to the Court's show cause order. (*Guerra*, Doc. 9). Therein, Defendant represents it intends to file a motion to substitute the United States in the matter and all other related Omni matters. (*Id.* at 1).

The *Guerra* parties represent that they will file in the first filed of the Omni federal actions – *Ellen Pace v. Omni Family Health*, Case No. 1:24-cv-01277-JLT-CDB – a joint stipulation and proposed order consolidating and staying the Omni actions pending resolution of the earlier of Defendant's forthcoming motion to substitute or motions to remand in *Abraham*, *et al. v. Omni Family Health*, Case No. 1:24-cv-01456-CDB ("*Abraham*") (*Abraham*, Doc. 7) as well as *Scott Stevenson and Marcos Mantoya v. Omni Family Health*, No. 1:24-cv-01459-CDB ("*Stevenson*") (*Stevenson*, Doc. 11). (*Id.* at 2).

## **Pending Stipulated Request**

Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulated request to extend by 30 additional days the time for Defendant to respond to the complaint, through and including February 5, 2025, filed on January 3, 2025. (Doc. 7). The parties represent the requested extension will allow time for other federal court actions filed against Defendant to be consolidated, which the parties

## Case 1:24-cv-01464-CDB Document 8 Filed 01/06/25 Page 3 of 3

represent is being submitted by way of a stipulation for consolidation that, among other things, proposes to stay all deadlines in the identified federal court actions and allow time for: (i) the removal of the related actions pending in state court; (ii) the Court to rule upon a pending motion for remand filed in the *Stevenson* action, which ruling shall apply to all the actions subject to consolidation which were removed from state court; and (iii) the Court to rule on Defendant's forthcoming motion to substitute the United States in this case as a defendant pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 42 U.S.C. § 233, which ruling shall apply to all the actions subject to consolidation. (Doc. 7 at 3). The parties represent that good cause exists to grant the requested extension in the efficiencies from allowing consolidation to occur and ruling on the pending motions to substitute and to remand. (*Id.*).

## **Conclusion and Order**

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Defendant shall have until <u>February 5, 2025</u>, to respond to the complaint by filing an answer or other responsive pleading. *See* Local Rule 144(a); and
- 2. The scheduling conference set for March 6, 2025 (Doc. 4) is VACATED to be reset as necessary following ruling on the pending motions to remand in *Stevenson* and *Abraham* and resolution of the issue of consolidation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 6, 2025

20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE